

# Ramsey Numbers for the Path with Three Edges

JÜRGEN BIERBRAUER

Let  $P_3$  denote the path with 3 edges. The *Ramsey number*  $r(P_3, k)$ ,  $k$  a natural number, is the minimum number of vertices in a complete graph for which every  $k$ -colouring of lines will produce a monochromatic copy of  $P_3$ . We fill the last gap in the determination of these numbers by proving the following.

**THEOREM 1.**  $r(P_3, 3^m) = 2 \cdot 3^m + 1$ , if  $m > 1$

In [1] we introduced another generalization of the concept of Ramsey number:  $r(\mathcal{F}_n, k)$  is defined as the minimum number of vertices of a complete graph such that every  $k$ -colouring of the edges produces a monochromatic connected component on more than  $n$  vertices. Obviously  $r(\mathcal{F}_3, k) \leq r(P_3, k)$  for every  $k$ . The construction used to prove Theorem 1 also yields the following

**THEOREM 2.**  $r(\mathcal{F}_3, k) = 2k + 1$ , if  $k = 3^m h$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $h \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $k > 3$ .

From the works of Irving and Lindström [3, 2] we know the numbers  $r(P_3, k)$  if  $k \neq 3^m$ . Further  $r(P_3, 3^m) \in \{2 \cdot 3^m, 2 \cdot 3^m + 1\}$ . As  $r(P_3, 3) = 6$ , it was natural to conjecture that  $r(P_3, 3^m) = 2 \cdot 3^m$  for all  $m$  ([3]). However, the contrary turns out to be true.

Consider a putative 9-colouring of edges of the complete graph  $K_{18}$ , which avoids monochromatic copies of  $P_3$ . Easy counting arguments show, that this must arise out of a *Steiner triple system* (in abbreviated STS)  $\gamma$  on 19 points by deleting one point  $P$ . Thus we have to look for an STS with a point  $P$ , such that the 'punctured' STS  $\gamma - \{P\}$  is *resolvable* as a linear space, i.e. the blocks of  $\gamma - \{P\}$  are partitioned in 9 classes, each class yielding a partition of the points. The reverse STS are natural candidates. An STS is called *reverse* if it possesses an involutory automorphism with exactly one fixed point. The reverse STS on 19 points given in [4] does the job. Its points are  $P, 1, 2, \dots, 9, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots, \bar{9}$ . The permutation which fixes  $P$  and interchanges the points  $i$  and  $\bar{i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 9$  is an automorphism of  $\gamma$ . The triples fall into 9 classes  $C, T_1, \dots, T_8$ :

$C: (P, i, \bar{i}), i = 1, 2, \dots, 9.$

$T_1: (1, 4, 7), (2, 5, 8), (3, 6, 9), (\bar{1}, \bar{4}, \bar{7}), (\bar{2}, \bar{5}, \bar{8}), (\bar{3}, \bar{6}, \bar{9})$

$T_2: (1, 5, 9), (2, 6, 7), (3, 4, 8), (\bar{1}, \bar{5}, \bar{9}), (\bar{2}, \bar{6}, \bar{7}), (\bar{3}, \bar{4}, \bar{8})$

$T_3: (1, 2, \bar{6}), (\bar{1}, \bar{2}, 6), (4, 5, \bar{9}), (\bar{4}, \bar{5}, 9), (3, \bar{7}, 8), (\bar{3}, 7, \bar{8})$

$T_4: (1, 3, \bar{5}), (\bar{1}, \bar{3}, 5), (4, 6, \bar{8}), (\bar{4}, \bar{6}, 8), (2, \bar{7}, 9), (\bar{2}, 7, \bar{9})$

$T_5: (1, \bar{8}, 9), (\bar{1}, 8, \bar{9}), (2, 3, \bar{4}), (\bar{2}, \bar{3}, 4), (5, 6, \bar{7}), (\bar{5}, \bar{6}, 7)$

$T_6: (1, \bar{3}, 6), (\bar{1}, 3, \bar{6}), (2, \bar{8}, 9), (\bar{2}, 8, \bar{9}), (4, \bar{5}, \bar{7}), (\bar{4}, 5, 7)$

$T_7: (1, \bar{2}, 4), (\bar{1}, 2, \bar{4}), (3, \bar{7}, 9), (\bar{3}, 7, \bar{9}), (5, \bar{6}, \bar{8}), (\bar{5}, 6, 8)$

$T_8: (1, \bar{7}, 8), (\bar{1}, 7, \bar{8}), (2, \bar{3}, 5), (\bar{2}, 3, \bar{5}), (4, \bar{6}, 9), (\bar{4}, 6, \bar{9})$

This shows  $r(P_3, 9) = r(\mathcal{F}_3, 9) = 19$  (see the upper bound  $r(\mathcal{F}_n, k) \leq k(n-1) + 1$  for  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  given in [1]).

Lindström's inductive process as introduced in [3] now yields our Theorems. This process uses the existence of pairs of orthogonal latin squares for every order  $k \notin \{1, 2, 6\}$ .

A beautiful short proof of this fact has recently been given by Zhu Lie [5].

We collect all the numbers in question together into a final Theorem.

## THEOREM.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad r(P_3, k) &= \begin{cases} 2k+2, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 2k+1, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, k \neq 3, \\ 6, & \text{if } k = 3, \end{cases} \\
 (b) \quad r(\mathcal{F}_3, k) &= \begin{cases} 2k+2, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 2k, & \text{if } k = 3 \text{ or } k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ 2k+1, & \text{if } k = 3^m h > 3, h \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

1. J. Bierbrauer, A. Brandis, On generalized Ramsey numbers for trees, *Combinatorica* **5** (1985), 95-107.
2. R. Irving, Generalized Ramsey numbers for small graphs, *Discr. Math.* **9** (1974), 251-264.
3. B. Lindström, Undecided Ramsey numbers for paths, *Discr. Math.* **43** (1983), 111-112.
4. A. Rosa, On reverse Steiner triple systems, *Discr. Math.* (1) **2** (1972), 61-71.
5. Zhu Lie, 'A short disproof of Euler's conjecture concerning orthogonal Latin squares', *Ars Comb.* **14** (1982), 47-55.

Received 25 January 1985

J. BIERBRAUER

Mathematisches Institut der Universität,  
Im Neuenheimer Feld 288, 69 Heidelberg, B.R.D.